

4 Elements of an Effective Educator's Evaluation Tool

Observation Summary

School:	Educator:	
School Year:	Evaluator:	
Date:		

STANDARD 1.1 LEARNER DEVELOPMENT					
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Develo	ping	Proficient	Distinguished
Creating	[]	[]		[]	[]
developmentally					
appropriate					
instruction					
Adapting	[]	[]		[]	[]
Instruction to					
meet student					
needs					
Collaboration	[]	[]		[]	[]
with					
stakeholders					
What is Demonstr	ated		Possible Sources of Evidence provided by		
			the teacher or evaluating administrator:		
			may include, but are not limited to:		
	nentally appropria	te			
instruction					
Adapting instruction to meet student needs					
Collaboration with stakeholders					
Other					
Comments					

STANDARD 1.2 LEARNING DIFFERENCES								
Rubric Criteria	Novice Developing Proficient Distinguished							
Learning differences	[]	[]	[]	[]				
Methods to build on prior	[]	[]	[]	[]				

knowledge				
What is Demonstrated	Possible Sources of Evidence provided by the teacher or evaluating administrator: may include, but are not limited to:			
Learning Differences				
Methods to build on prior knowledge				
Other				
Comments				

STANDARD 1.3 LEARNING ENVIRONMENT						
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Developing		Proficient	Distinguished	
Managing	[]	[]		[]	[]	
student						
behavior						
Safe	[]	[]		[]	[]	
environment						
Positive	[]	[]		[]	[]	
learning						
climate						
What is Demonst	rated		Possible Sources of Evidence provided by			
			the teacher or evaluating administrator:			
			may inc	nclude, but are not limited to:		
Managing studen	t behavior					
Positive learning	climate					
Safe environment	t					
Other						
Comments						
Overall Rating	Novice	Developing		Proficient	Distinguished	
for Element 1		1 0				
	[]	[]		[]	[]	

STANDARD 2.1 I	STANDARD 2.1 KNOWLEDGE OF CONTENT						
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Develop	oing	Proficient	Distinguished		
Demonstrate	[]	[]		[]	[]		
content							
knowledge							
Utilize content	[]	[]		[]	[]		
standards							
Higher order	[]	[]		[]	[]		
thinking							
Link new	[]	[]		[]	[]		
learning to							
prior							
knowledge							
What is Demonst	rated		Possible	Sources of Eviden	ce provided by		
			the teac	her or evaluating a	dministrator:		
			may inc	lude, but are not lii	mited to:		

Demonstrate content knowledge	[
Utilize content standards	
Link new learning to prior knowledge	
Higher order thinking	
Other	
Comments	

STANDARD 2.2 C	CONTENT APPLICA	ATION			
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Developing		Proficient	Distinguished
Interdisciplinary	[]	[]		[]	[]
connections					
Real-world	[]	[]		[]	[]
issues with					
critical thinking					
and problem					
solving			1		
What is Demonstr	rated		Possible Sources of Evidence provided by		
			the teacher or evaluating administrator:		
			may incl	ude, but are not lir	nited to:
Interdisciplinary	connections				
	with critical think	ing and			
problem solving					
Other					
Comments					
Overall Rating	Novice	Developing		Proficient	Distinguished
for Element 2					
	[]	[]		[]	[]

STANDARD 3.1 PL	STANDARD 3.1 PLANNING						
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Deve	loping	Proficient	Distinguished		
Multiple methods	[]	[]		[]	[]		
to demonstrate							
mastery/standard							
achievement							
Appropriate	[]	[]		[]	[]		
resources &							
accommodations							
Standards-based	[]	[]		[]	[]		
instruction							
What is Demonstra	ted		Possible Sources of Evidence provided by				
			the teacher or evaluating administrator:				
		may inclu	de, but are not lin	nited to:			
Multiple methods to	o demonstrate						
mastery/standard a	achievement						
Standards-based instruction							
Appropriate resour	ces and						

accommodations	
Other	
Comments	

STANDARD 3.2	STANDARD 3.2 ASSESSMENT					
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Develop	oing	Proficient	Distinguished	
Utilizing	[]	[]		[]	[]	
assessments for						
progress &						
decision						
making						
Student	[]	[]		[]	[]	
awareness of						
criteria &						
standards						
Student	[]	[]		[]	[]	
Feedback						
What is Demonst	rated		Possible	e Sources of Eviden	ce provided by	
			the teac	her or evaluating a	dministrator:	
		may inc	lude, but are not li	mited to:		
Standards, assess	sment, and feedbac	k				
Other						
Comments						

STANDARD 3.3 S	STANDARD 3.3 STRATEGIES					
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Develop	oing	Proficient	Distinguished	
Educator	[]	[]		[]	[]	
Strategies						
Utilizing	[]	[]		[]	[]	
multiple						
methods						
21st Century	[]	[]		[]	[]	
Learning						
Uses resources	[]	[]		[]	[]	
and technology						
to help student						
learning						
experiences.						
What is Demonst	rated		Possible Sources of Evidence provided by			
			the teacher or evaluating administrator:			
			may inc	lude, but are not li	mited to:	
Strategies and multiple methods; 21st						
Century model						
Other						
Comments						

Overall Rating for Element 3	Novice	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished
	[]	[]	[]	[]

STANDARD 4.1 PROFESSIONALISM AND PROFESSIONAL LEARNING					
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Develop	ping	Proficient	Distinguished
Engages in meaningful professional development	[]	[]		[]	[]
Relies on variety of data to reflect on practice	[]	[]		[]	[]
What is Demonstrated			Possible Sources of Evidence provided by the teacher or evaluating administrator: may include, but are not limited to:		
Engages in meaningful professional development					
Relies on variety of data to reflect on practice Other					
Comments					

STANDARD 4.2 LEADERSHIP						
Rubric Criteria	Novice	Developing		Proficient	Distinguished	
Takes active	[]	[]		[]	[]	
role on						
instructional						
team & with						
community						
Displays	[]	[]		[]	[]	
honesty &						
integrity						
Complies with	[]	[]		[]	[]	
regulations						
Engages	[]	[]		[]	[]	
stakeholders						
What is Demonst	What is Demonstrated			Possible Sources of Evidence provided by		
		the teacher or evaluating administrator:				
		may include, but are not limited to:				
Takes active role on instructional team and						
with community						
Displays honesty and integrity						
Complies with regulations						
Other						
Comments	Comments					

Overall Rating for Element 4	Novice	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished
	[]	[]	[]	[]

I have read this Summative Evaluation, and the evaluating administrative supervisor has discussed it with me. My signature does not necessarily indicate agreement. (The teacher has ten (10) working days after presentation to respond in writing.)			
Educator Signature:	Evaluator Signature:		
Date:	Date:		